arXiv:math/0506013v2 [math.PR] 26 Apr 2007

Towards a characterization of Markov processes enjoying the time-inversion property

Stephan Lawi*

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We give a necessary and sufficient condition for a homogeneous Markov process taking values in $\mathbb{R}^n$ to enjoy the time-inversion property of degree α. The condition sets the shape for the semigroup densities of the process and allows to further extend the class of known processes satisfying the time-inversion property. As an application we recover the result of Watanabe in [27] for continuous and conservative Markov processes on $\mathbb{R}_+$. As new examples we generalize Dunkl processes and construct a matrix-valued process with jumps related to the Wishart process by a skew-product representation.

Keywords: homogeneous Markov processes; time-inversion property; Bessel processes; Dunkl processes; Wishart processes; semi-stable processes.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 60J25; 60J60; 60J65; 60J99.

1 Introduction

Let $\{(X_t, t \geq 0);\ (\mathrm{P}_x)_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\}$ be a homogeneous Markov process with semigroup densities (assumed to exist and to be twice differentiable in the space and time variables):

$$P_t(x, dy) = p_t(x, y)dy. \tag{1.1}$$

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some $\alpha > 0$, the process $\{(t^\alpha X_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0);\ \mathrm{P}_x\}$ is Markov and in general inhomogeneous.

Definition 1.1. The process $\{(X_t, t \geq 0);\ \mathrm{P}_x\}$ is said to enjoy the *time-inversion property of degree* α if the Markov process $\{(t^\alpha X_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0);\ \mathrm{P}_x\}$ is homogeneous.

The problems associated to time-inversion of Markov processes are closely related to the so-called dual processes in probabilistic potential theory (see [10] and [20]). A pair of dual processes is a pair of Markov processes whose resolvents are conjugate relative to some measure. The trajectories of these processes are connected by means of time-reversal. However, time-reversal in general violates homogeneity and changes the path properties of the process. In this paper, we are mainly concerned with the first issue, and in particular, whether one can characterize the semigroup densities of a Markov process such that homogeneity is preserved under time-inversion.

Celebrated examples of Markov processes, known to enjoy the time-inversion property for $\alpha = 1$, are Brownian motions with drift in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Bessel processes with drift (see [24] and [27]). Gallardo and Yor [13] recently worked out a sufficient condition on the semigroup densities for a Markov process to enjoy the time-inversion property. Their argument extended the class of processes to

---

*Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires, CNRS-UMR 7599, Université Paris VI & Université Paris VII, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France. *Email*: lawi@proba.jussieu.fr.

processes with jumps such as the Dunkl process [26] and matrix-valued processes such as the Wishart process [3]. The aim of the paper is to find a necessary and sufficient condition and to provide some new examples.

Section 2 contains the main theorem of the paper, which is proved in section 3 using straightforward analytical arguments. Section 4 considers an application of the theorem to Markov processes on $\mathbb{R}_+$. The result is shown to be strong enough to entirely characterize the class of diffusion processes on $\mathbb{R}_+$ that enjoy the time-inversion property and thus provide a different proof than that of Watanabe in [27]. Section 5 gives new examples of processes that enjoy the time-inversion property. We review how the generalized Dunkl process fits the requirements of the theorem and then introduce a matrix-valued process with jumps. The relation of the latter process to the Wishart process mimics the relation between the one-dimensional Dunkl and Bessel processes.

2 Markov processes which enjoy time-inversion

Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Recall that under P_x, the process $(t^\alpha X_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0)$ is Markov, inhomogeneous, with transitional probability densities $q_{s,t}^{(x)}(z,y)$, $(s < t; z, y \in \mathbb{R}^n)$, which satisfy the following relation:

$$E_x \left[f(t^\alpha X_{\frac{1}{t}}) \mid s^\alpha X_{\frac{1}{s}} = z \right] = \int dy \; f(y) \; q_{s,t}^{(x)}(z,y) \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$q_{s,t}^{(x)}(a,b) = t^{-n\alpha} \; \frac{p_{\frac{1}{t}}\left(x, \frac{b}{t^\alpha}\right) \; p_{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{t}}\left(\frac{b}{t^\alpha}, \frac{a}{s^\alpha}\right)}{p_{\frac{1}{s}}\left(x, \frac{a}{s^\alpha}\right)}. \tag{2.2}$$

The process $(t^\alpha X_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0)$ is not uniquely defined from the knowledge of the semigroup densities $p_t(x,y)$. Actually, there exists at least one transformation that leaves the semigroup densities $q_{s,t}^{(x)}(a,b)$ unchanged: Doob's h-transform.

Definition 2.1. Doob's h-transform is the transformation

$$T_h : \mathrm{P}_x|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \mapsto \frac{h(X_t)}{h(x)} e^{-\nu t} \; \mathrm{P}_x|_{\mathcal{F}_t}. \tag{2.3}$$

for some function h and some constant $\nu > 0$.

This remark leads to our first assertion.

Proposition 2.2. *Two processes related by h-transforms yield the same process by time-inversion.*

It is hence legitimate to research for a criterium to classify all processes that enjoy the time-inversion property up to h-transforms. The following theorem gives a concise statement of our main result:

Theorem 2.3. *The Markov process $(t^\alpha X_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0)$ is homogeneous if and only if the semigroup densities of $(X_t, t \geq 0)$, assumed twice differentiable, are of the form:*

$$p_t(x,y) = t^{-\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \; \Phi\left(\frac{x}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}, \frac{y}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) \; \theta\left(\frac{y}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) \; \exp\left\{ \rho\left(\frac{x}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) + \rho\left(\frac{y}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) \right\}, \tag{2.4}$$

or if they are in h-transform relationship with it. The functions Φ, θ, ρ have the following properties for $\lambda > 0$:

1. *$\Phi(\lambda x, y) = \Phi(x, \lambda y)$;*
2. *$\rho(\lambda x) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \; \rho(x)$;*

3. $\theta(\lambda y) = \lambda^{\beta}\ \theta(y)$ *for some* $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$*;*

Moreover, if the symmetry condition $\Phi(x,y) = \Phi(y,x)$ *is satisfied, then the semigroup densities are related as follows:*

$$q_t^{(x)}(a,b) = \frac{\Phi\left(x,b\right)}{\Phi\left(x,a\right)}\ \exp\Big\{t\rho\left(x\right)\Big\}\ p_t(a,b). \tag{2.5}$$

Such a decomposition of the semigroup densities shows furthermore that h-transforms are the only transformations that leave semigroup densities of the time-inverted process $(t^{\alpha}X_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0)$ unchanged.

Definition 2.4. A Markov process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ is called semi-stable with index γ in the sense of Lamperti [22] if:

$$\Big\{(X_{ct}, t \geq 0); \mathrm{P}_x\Big\} \overset{(d)}{=} \Big\{(c^{\gamma}X_t, t \geq 0); \mathrm{P}_{x/c^{\gamma}}\Big\}. \tag{2.6}$$

As a consequence, the semigroup densities of a semi-stable process with index γ have the following property:

$$p_t(x,y) = t^{-n\gamma}\ p_1\left(\frac{x}{t^{\gamma}}, \frac{y}{t^{\gamma}}\right). \tag{2.7}$$

Remark that the expression for the semigroup densities in Theorem 2.3 satisfies this property for $\gamma = \alpha/2$. This remark yields the following corollary:

Corollary 2.5. *A Markov process that enjoys the time-inversion property of degree* α *is a semi-stable process of index* $\alpha/2$*, or is in* h*-transform relationship with it. The converse is not true.*

Remark 2.6. Throughout the paper, we assume the semigroup densities $p_t(x,y)$ to be positive over the domain and regular enough to be at least twice differentiable in the space and time variables. This assumption is more a technical requirement for the proof than a necessity for the characterization of Markov processes enjoying the time-inversion property.

3 Proof of the Theorem

3.1 Sufficiency

If $p_t(x,y)$ satisfies the condition (2.4), then from formula (2.2) the semigroup densities $q_{s,t}^{(x)}(a,b)$ can be written as

$$q_{s,t}^{(x)}(a,b) = (t-s)^{-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\ \prod_{i=1}^{3} R_{s,t}^{(i)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{s,t}^{(1)} &= \frac{\Phi\left(x\ t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \frac{b}{t^{\alpha}}\ t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)}{\Phi\left(x\ s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \frac{a}{s^{\alpha}}\ s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)}\ \Phi\left(\frac{b}{t^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{st}{t-s}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \frac{a}{s^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{st}{t-s}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)\\
R_{s,t}^{(2)} &= \frac{\theta\left(\frac{b}{t^{\alpha}}\ t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)}{\theta\left(\frac{a}{s^{\alpha}}\ s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)}\ \theta\left(\frac{a}{s^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{st}{t-s}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)\\
R_{s,t}^{(3)} &= \exp\left\{\rho\left(x\ t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) + \rho\left(\frac{b}{t^{\alpha}}\ t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) + \rho\left(\frac{b}{t^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{st}{t-s}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)\right.\\
&\qquad \left. + \rho\left(\frac{a}{s^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{st}{t-s}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) - \rho\left(x\ s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) - \rho\left(\frac{a}{s^{\alpha}}\ s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of Φ, θ, ρ described in Theorem 2.3, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{s,t}^{(1)} &= \frac{\Phi(x,b)}{\Phi(x,a)}\,\Phi\left(\frac{b}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}, \frac{a}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) \\
R_{s,t}^{(2)} &= (t-s)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\beta}\,\theta(b) \\
R_{s,t}^{(3)} &= \exp\left\{(t-s)\rho(x) + \rho\left(\frac{a}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) + \rho\left(\frac{b}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence there is no separate dependence on s and t, but only on the difference $t-s$, which allows to conclude that

$$q_{s,t}^{(x)}(a,b) = q_{t-s}^{(x)}(a,b)$$

and proves homogeneity for the process $(t^\alpha X_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0)$. If in addition $\Phi(x,y) = \Phi(y,x)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{s,t}^{(x)}(a,b) = {} & \frac{\Phi(x,b)}{\Phi(x,a)}\,\frac{e^{(t-s)\rho(x)}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}}\,\Phi\left(\frac{a}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}, \frac{b}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right)\,\theta\left(\frac{b}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) \\
& \exp\left\{\rho\left(\frac{a}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) + \rho\left(\frac{b}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

in which we recognize (2.5).

3.2 Necessity

For simplicity of notation, we prove the necessity of condition (2.4) in the case $\alpha = 1$. The extension to $\alpha > 0$ is immediate by the change of variables $x \mapsto \|x\|^\alpha \frac{x}{\|x\|}$. Recall first the following definition of homogeneous functions:

Definition 3.1. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$f(\lambda x) = \lambda^\beta f(x)$$

is called *homogeneous of degree* $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. If $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Euler's Homogeneous Function Theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the function $f(x)$ to be homogeneous:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x) = \beta f(x).$$

Consider the function of $2n+1$ variables: $l(x,y,t) = \ln(p_t(x,y)),\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. From (2.2), l must satisfy for $s = t-h$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[n \ln\frac{1}{t} + l\left(x, \frac{b}{t}, \frac{1}{t}\right) + l\left(\frac{b}{t}, \frac{a}{t-h}, \frac{1}{t-h} - \frac{1}{t}\right) - l\left(x, \frac{a}{t-h}, \frac{1}{t-h}\right)\right] = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

3.2.1 The kernel $\Phi(x,y)$

Taking derivatives with respect to b_i and a_j for some $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ yields:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ l\left(\frac{b}{t}, \frac{a}{t-h}, \frac{1}{t-h} - \frac{1}{t}\right) = 0.$$

If we set $\phi(x,y,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} l(x,y,t)$, the latter becomes

$$-\frac{1}{t^2(t-h)}\left(\phi + \frac{b}{t}\cdot\nabla_1\phi\right) - \frac{1}{t(t-h)^2}\left(\phi + \frac{a}{t-h}\cdot\nabla_2\phi\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{t(t-h)}\left(\frac{1}{t^2}-\frac{1}{(t-h)^2}\right)\partial\phi=0,$$

with the notation $\nabla_1=(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\dots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})^T$, $\nabla_2=(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1},\dots,\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n})^T$, ∂ the derivative with respect to the time variable and $\phi=\phi\left(\frac{b}{t},\frac{a}{t-h},\frac{1}{t-h}-\frac{1}{t}\right)$. For clarity, we change variables to

$$z_1=\frac{b}{t}\quad,\quad z_2=\frac{a}{t-h}\quad,\quad t_1=-\frac{1}{t}\quad,\quad t_2=\frac{1}{t-h}.$$

Then $\phi=\phi(z_1,z_2,t_1+t_2)$ and

$$t_1\Big(\phi+z_1\cdot\nabla_1\phi\ +t_1\ \partial\phi\Big)=t_2\Big(\phi+z_2\cdot\nabla_2\phi\ +t_2\ \partial\phi\Big),$$

or equivalently

$$t_1\Big(\phi+z_1\cdot\nabla_1\phi\ +(t_1+t_2)\ \partial\phi\Big)=t_2\Big(\phi+z_2\cdot\nabla_2\phi\ +(t_1+t_2)\ \partial\phi\Big).$$

We change variables once more: $u=\frac{t_1}{t_2}, v=t_1+t_2$ to get:

$$\phi+z_1\cdot\nabla_1\phi\ +v\ \partial\phi=u\big(\phi+z_2\cdot\nabla_2\phi\ +v\ \partial\phi\big).\tag{3.2}$$

This gives the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Equation (3.2) is satisfied if and only if*

$$\phi(\lambda x,\mu y,\lambda\mu t)=\frac{1}{\lambda\mu}\ \phi(x,y,t).\tag{3.3}$$

Proof. As the LHS of equation (3.2) is independent of u, one can readily take the equivalent condition:

$$\phi+z_1\cdot\nabla_1\phi\ +v\ \partial\phi\ =\ 0,\tag{3.4}$$
$$\phi+z_2\cdot\nabla_2\phi\ +v\ \partial\phi\ =\ 0.\tag{3.5}$$

Let $g(\lambda)=\phi(\lambda z_1,z_2,\lambda v)$ and $h(\mu)=\phi(z_1,\mu z_2,\mu v)$. Equation (3.4) implies $g(\lambda)+\lambda g'(\lambda)=0$, which solves to $g(\lambda)=\lambda^{-1}g(1)$ and hence

$$\phi(\lambda z_1,z_2,\lambda v)=\frac{1}{\lambda}\phi(z_1,z_2,v).$$

Equation (3.5) implies $h(\mu)+\mu h'(\mu)=0$, which solves to $h(\mu)=\mu^{-1}h(1)$ and hence

$$\phi(z_1,\mu z_2,\mu v)=\frac{1}{\mu}\phi(z_1,z_2,v).$$

Combining the latter two equations yields (3.3).

Conversely, if g is homogeneous of degree -1 and h is homogeneous of degree -1, we get:

$$g(\lambda)+\lambda g'(\lambda)=h(\mu)+\mu h'(\mu)=0,$$

which is equivalent to (3.4) and (3.5) and concludes the proof. □

The scaling property (3.3) implies moreover the equivalent formulation

$$\phi(x,y,t)=\frac{1}{t}\phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}},\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right),\tag{3.6}$$

where $\phi_1(x,y)=\phi(x,y,1)$ must satisfy

$$\phi_1(\lambda x,y)=\phi_1(x,\lambda y). \tag{3.7}$$

Under the change of variables $\bar{x}=\dfrac{x}{\sqrt{t}}$, $\bar{y}=\dfrac{y}{\sqrt{t}}$, the kernel $\bar{l}(\bar{x},\bar{y},t)=l(x,y,t)$ satisfies

$$\phi_1(\bar{x},\bar{y})=\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{x}_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{y}_j}\bar{l}(\bar{x},\bar{y},t).$$

Note that the previous results remain valid for all second derivatives of $\bar{l}(\bar{x},\bar{y},t)$ with respect to $\bar{x}_i$ and $\bar{y}_j$, $\forall i,j\in\{1,\dots,n\}$. By integration over $\bar{x}_i$ and $\bar{y}_j$, one can thus already make an assumption on the general shape of the kernel $\bar{l}(\bar{x},\bar{y},t)$:

$$\bar{l}(\bar{x},\bar{y},t)=k(\bar{x},\bar{y})+\bar{\varphi}_1(\bar{x},t)+\bar{\varphi}_2(\bar{y},t),$$

where $k:\mathbb{R}^{2n}\to\mathbb{R}$ does not depend explicitly on time and $\bar{\varphi}_1,\bar{\varphi}_2:\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\to\mathbb{R}$. An equivalent representation for $l(x,y,t)$ gives

$$l(x,y,t)=k\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}},\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)+\varphi_1(x,t)+\varphi_2(y,t), \tag{3.8}$$

with $\bar{\varphi}_1(\bar{x},t)=\varphi_1(x,t)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2(\bar{y},t)=\varphi_2(y,t)$.

The scaling property (3.7) of $\phi_1(x,y)$ now translates to $k(x,y)$ as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}k(\lambda x,y)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}k(x,\lambda y),\quad \forall i,j\in\{1,\dots,n\}. \tag{3.9}$$

By integration, $k(x,y)$ must satisfy the following condition,

$$k(\lambda x,y)=k(x,\lambda y)+\zeta_1(x,\lambda)+\zeta_2(y,\lambda), \tag{3.10}$$

along with the properties $\zeta_1(x,1)+\zeta_2(y,1)=0$ and

$$\zeta_1(x,\alpha\lambda)+\zeta_2(y,\alpha\lambda)=\zeta_1(x,\alpha)+\zeta_1(\alpha x,\lambda)+\zeta_2(y,\lambda)+\zeta_2(\lambda y,\alpha).$$

Proposition 3.3. *The functions $\zeta_1(x,\lambda)$ and $\zeta_2(y,\lambda)$ are equivalently defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_1(x,\alpha) &= \zeta_1(x)-\zeta_1(\alpha x),\\ \zeta_2(x,\alpha) &= \zeta_2(x)-\zeta_2(\alpha x),\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

with a slight abuse of notation.

Proof. The first property of the functions $\zeta_1(x,\lambda)$, $\zeta_2(y,\lambda)$ implies

$$\zeta_1(x,1)=-\zeta_2(y,1)=\zeta\in\mathbb{R}$$

which is set to 0 without loss of generality. The second property leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_1(x,\alpha\lambda) &= \zeta_1(x,\alpha)+\zeta_1(\alpha x,\lambda)+F(\alpha,\lambda),\\ \zeta_2(y,\alpha\lambda) &= \zeta_2(y,\lambda)+\zeta_2(\lambda y,\alpha)-F(\alpha,\lambda),\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

for some function $F:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, by deriving the latter expression involving $\zeta_1(x,\lambda)$ successively by x_i and λ, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\zeta_1(\alpha x,\lambda)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\zeta_1(x,\alpha\lambda). \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, taking the derivatives with respect to λ and α leads to

$$\zeta_1'(x, \alpha\lambda) + \alpha\lambda\zeta_1''(x, \alpha\lambda) = x \cdot \nabla_{\alpha x}\zeta_1'(\alpha x, \lambda) + \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}F(\alpha, \lambda),$$

with $'$ denoting the derivative with respect to the last coordinate in $\zeta_1(x, \lambda)$. Using (3.13), we obtain the following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}F(\alpha, \lambda) = \zeta_1'(x, \alpha\lambda) + \alpha\lambda\ \zeta_1''(x, \alpha\lambda) - x \cdot \nabla_x\zeta_1'(x, \alpha\lambda),$$

which shows that the second derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}F(\alpha, \lambda)$ is a function of the product $\alpha\lambda$ only. Hence with a slight abuse of notation,

$$F(\alpha, \lambda) = F(\alpha\lambda) - F_1(\alpha) - F_2(\lambda),$$

where we set $F_1(1) = F_2(1) = 0$ without loss of generality. Setting respectively $\alpha = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$ yields from (3.12), $F_1(\lambda) = F(\lambda)$ and $F_2(\lambda) = F(\lambda)$, hence $F(1) = 0$. Equation (3.12) then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1(x, \alpha\lambda) - F(\alpha\lambda) &= \zeta_1(x, \alpha) - F(\alpha) + \zeta_1(\alpha x, \lambda) - F(\lambda), \\ \zeta_2(y, \alpha\lambda) + F(\alpha\lambda) &= \zeta_2(y, \lambda) + F(\lambda) + \zeta_2(\lambda y, \alpha) + F(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

$F(\lambda)$ turns out to simply shift the functions $\zeta_1(x, \lambda)$ and $\zeta_2(y, \lambda)$. It can thus be included as part of those functions, so without loss of generality $F(\lambda) = 0$ and the latter equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1(x, \alpha\lambda) &= \zeta_1(x, \alpha) + \zeta_1(\alpha x, \lambda), \\ \zeta_2(y, \alpha\lambda) &= \zeta_2(y, \lambda) + \zeta_2(\lambda y, \alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

The latter functions can thus be further reduced to functions of one variable. With a slight abuse of notation, setting $\zeta_i(x) = \zeta_i\left(x, \frac{1}{||x||}\right)$ and choosing respectively $\lambda = \frac{1}{\alpha||x||}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{\lambda||y||}$ yields the resulting equations (3.11). ☐

This last proposition combined with (3.10) gives

$$k(\lambda x, y) + \zeta_1(\lambda x) - \zeta_2(y) = k(x, \lambda y) + \zeta_1(x) - \zeta_2(\lambda y).$$

Now setting $\Phi(x, y) = \exp\big(k(x, y) + \zeta_1(x) - \zeta_2(y)\big)$ completes the proof of the first condition of the theorem, i.e.

$$\Phi(\lambda x, y) = \Phi(x, \lambda y).$$

3.2.2 The function $\rho(x)$

Going back to (3.1), we replace the explicit form of $l(x, y, t)$ and use the scaling property of $\zeta_1(x, \lambda)$, $\zeta_2(y, \lambda)$ and $k(x, y)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ & \left[n \ln\frac{1}{t} + \zeta_1(x, \sqrt{t}) - \zeta_1(x, \sqrt{t-h}) - \zeta_2\left(b, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right) + \zeta_2\left(a, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-h}}\right)\right. \\ & + \zeta_1\left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{h}}, \sqrt{\frac{t-h}{t}}\right) - \zeta_2\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{h}}, \sqrt{\frac{t}{t-h}}\right) \\ & + \varphi_1\left(x, \frac{1}{t}\right) - \varphi_1\left(x, \frac{1}{t-h}\right) + \varphi_1\left(\frac{b}{t}, \frac{h}{t(t-h)}\right) \\ & \left. + \varphi_2\left(\frac{b}{t}, \frac{1}{t}\right) - \varphi_2\left(\frac{a}{t-h}, \frac{1}{t-h}\right) + \varphi_2\left(\frac{a}{t-h}, \frac{h}{t(t-h)}\right)\right] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Note that the terms composed of the function $k(x, y)$ do not depend on t and thus cancel out with the time derivative.

Recall that the variables a, b, x are independent from each other. So taking the derivative of (3.15) with respect to x_i gives

$$t_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\varphi_1'(x,t_1) - \frac{\sqrt{t_1}}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\zeta_1'\left(x, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1}}\right) = t_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\varphi_1'(x,t_2) - \frac{\sqrt{t_2}}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\zeta_1'\left(x, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_2}}\right)$$

with $t_1 = \frac{1}{t}$, $t_2 = \frac{1}{t-h}$ and $'$ still denotes the derivative with respect to the last coordinate. Since the latter is valid for all $t_1, t_2 > 0$, each side of the equation must be independent of t. Hence, for some differentiable function $\varphi_{11} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\varphi_1(x,t) = -\frac{1}{t^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\varphi_{11}(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\zeta_1\left(x, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right),$$

which integrates to

$$\varphi_1(x,t) = \frac{1}{t}\varphi_{11}(x) - \zeta_1\left(x, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right) + h_1(x) + \tau_1(t).$$

There are so far no further conditions to add on the function $\varphi_1(x,t)$.

With the new result for the shape of $\varphi_1(x,t)$ and using the scaling property of $\zeta_1(x,\lambda)$ and $\zeta_2(y,\lambda)$, we derive (3.15) with respect to b_i to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad &\left[\zeta_1\left(b, \frac{1}{t}\right) - \zeta_2\left(b, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right) + \frac{t(t-h)}{h}\varphi_{11}\left(\frac{b}{t}\right)\right. \\ &\left. + h_1\left(\frac{b}{t}\right) + \varphi_2\left(\frac{b}{t}, \frac{1}{t}\right)\right] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

For convenience, let $\varphi_{22} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$\varphi_2(x,t) = \zeta_2\left(\frac{x}{t}, \sqrt{t}\right) - \zeta_1\left(\frac{x}{t}, t\right) + \frac{1}{t}\varphi_{11}(x) - h_1(x) + \varphi_{22}(x,t). \tag{3.16}$$

The former partial derivative equation then becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{t^2}{h}\varphi_{11}\left(\frac{b}{t}\right) + \varphi_{22}\left(\frac{b}{t}, \frac{1}{t}\right)\right] = 0, \tag{3.17}$$

which develops to

$$t_1^2\left\{1 + t_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + z\cdot\nabla\right\}\partial_i\varphi_{22}(z,t_1) = \frac{1}{h}\left\{1 - z\cdot\nabla\right\}\partial_i\varphi_{11}(z),$$

with the notation $z = \frac{b}{t}$, $t_1 = \frac{1}{t}$. Since the LHS is independent of h, both sides of the equation must cancel out. This is the case if $\partial_i\varphi_{22}(z,t)$ is homogeneous of degree -1 and $\partial_i\varphi_{11}(z)$ is homogeneous of degree 1, which means by integration over z_i,

$$\varphi_{11}(\lambda z) = \lambda^2\varphi_{11}(z) + \bar{\varphi}\ln\lambda, \quad \bar{\varphi} \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.18}$$

Let $\rho(z) = \varphi_{11}(z)$, then, conditioned on showing $\bar{\varphi} = 0$, we recover the second condition of the theorem, that is

$$\rho(\lambda z) = \lambda^2\rho(z).$$

3.2.3 The function $\theta(y)$

In order to further investigate the properties of $\varphi_{22}(z,t)$, we derive (3.15) by a_i:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad &\left[\varphi_{22}\left(\frac{a}{t-h}, \frac{h}{t(t-h)}\right) - \varphi_{22}\left(\frac{a}{t-h}, \frac{1}{t-h}\right)\right. \\ &\left. + \zeta_1\left(a, \frac{t}{h}\right) - \zeta_2\left(a, \frac{t}{h}\right)\right] = 0,\end{aligned}$$

using the scaling properties of $\zeta_1(x,\lambda)$, $\zeta_2(y,\lambda)$ and $\varphi_{11}(x)$. Since $\partial_i\varphi_{22}(z,t)$ is homogeneous of degree -1, this leads to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\partial_i\varphi_{22}\left(a,\frac{h}{t}\right)+\partial_i\zeta_1\left(a,\frac{t}{h}\right)-\partial_i\zeta_2\left(a,\frac{t}{h}\right)\right]=0.$$

Hence, by integration over time, we obtain

$$\partial_i\varphi_{22}\left(a,\frac{h}{t}\right)+\partial_i\zeta_1\left(a,\frac{t}{h}\right)-\partial_i\zeta_2\left(a,\frac{t}{h}\right)=\partial_i h_2(a),$$

for some differentiable function $h_2:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$. Integration with respect to a_i yields

$$\varphi_{22}\left(a,t\right)=h_2(a)+\tau_2(t)-\zeta_1\left(a,\frac{1}{t}\right)+\zeta_2\left(a,\frac{1}{t}\right),$$

for some real function $\tau_2(t)$. Furthermore the homogeneity condition on $\partial_i\varphi_{22}(z,t)$ translates to $\partial_i h_2(z)$ as follows:

$$\lambda\partial_i h_2(\lambda a)=\partial_i h_2(a)+\partial_i\zeta_2(a,\lambda)-\partial_i\zeta_1(a,\lambda),$$

by the scaling properties of $\partial_i\zeta_1(x,\lambda)$ and $\partial_i\zeta_2(y,\lambda)$. Integration over a_i then yields

$$h_2(\lambda a)=h_2(a)+H(\lambda)+\zeta_2(a,\lambda)-\zeta_1(a,\lambda),$$

for $H:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ with the properties $H(1)=0$ and $H(\lambda_1\lambda_2)=H(\lambda_1)+H(\lambda_2)$. The function $H(\lambda)$ is thus of the form $H(\lambda)=\beta\ln\lambda$ for some $\beta\in\mathbb{R}$. Using Proposition 3.3, the latter becomes

$$h_2(\lambda a)+\zeta_2(\lambda a)-\zeta_1(\lambda a)=\beta\ln\lambda+h_2(a)+\zeta_2(a)-\zeta_1(a).$$

Let $\theta(z)=\exp\big(h_2(z)+\zeta_2(a)-\zeta_1(a)\big)$. We then recover condition 3 of the theorem, i.e.

$$\theta(\lambda z)=\lambda^{\beta}\,\theta(z).$$

3.2.4 The function $\tau(t)$

The results for $\varphi_1(x,t)$ and $\varphi_2(y,t)$ summarize so far to:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(x,t)&=\frac{\rho(x)}{t}-\zeta_1(x)+\zeta_1\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)+h_1(x)+\tau_1(t)\\ \varphi_2(y,t)&=\frac{\rho(y)}{t}+\zeta_2(y)-\zeta_2\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)-h_1(y)+\tau_2(t)+h_2(y).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $l(x,y,t)=\ln(p_t(x,y))$ has the form

$$l(x,y,t)=\ln\Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}},\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)+\ln\frac{\eta(y)}{\eta(x)}+\frac{\rho(x)+\rho(y)}{t}+\tau_1(t)+\tau_2(t),\tag{3.19}$$

where $\eta(x)=\exp\big(\zeta_1(x)-h_1(x)\big)$ defines an h-transform and can thus be neglected. It remains to explicitly formulate the form of the function $\tau:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}$ that we define by

$$\tau(t)=\tau_1(t)+\tau_2(t)+\frac{1}{2}(n+\beta)\ln t$$

to satisfy the resulting partial differential equation (3.15):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\quad&\left[\bar{\varphi}\,\frac{t(t-h)}{h}\left(\frac{t}{t-h}\ln\frac{1}{t}+\frac{t-h}{t}\ln\frac{1}{t-h}\right)\right.\\ &\left.+\,\tau\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)+\tau\left(\frac{h}{t(t-h)}\right)-\tau\left(\frac{1}{t-h}\right)\right]=0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

The former equation becomes after derivation,

$$\frac{\bar{\varphi}}{t_2 - t_1}\Big(t_1(2\ln t_2 + 1) + t_2(2\ln t_1 + 1)\Big) = t_1^2\tau'(t_1) + (t_2^2 - t_1^2)\tau'(t_2 - t_1) - t_2^2\tau'(t_2),$$

for $t_1 = \frac{1}{t}$, $t_2 = \frac{1}{t-h}$, which hints that $\bar{\varphi} = 0$. Assuming $\bar{\varphi}$ to be non-zero and setting $g(t) = \dfrac{t^2}{2\bar{\varphi}}\,\tau'(t) + \dfrac{1}{2}$, we get indeed

$$t_1 \ln t_2 + t_2 \ln t_1 = t_1\Big(g(t_2 - t_1) - g(t_1) + g(t_2)\Big) + t_2\Big(g(t_2 - t_1) + g(t_1) - g(t_2)\Big),$$

which has no solution. So $\bar{\varphi} = 0$. Now dividing the former equation with $\bar{\varphi} = 0$ by t_1 and taking the limit $t_1 \to 0$ leads to the differential equation

$$\tau''(t) = 0,$$

which solves for $\tau(t) = \nu t,\ \nu \in \mathbb{R}$. However, $e^{\nu t}$ can always be included in an h-transform, so ν is set to 0 and $\tau(t)$ becomes trivial.

3.2.5 The general case $\alpha > 0$

Combining the different factors, we obtain for the semigroup densities,

$$p_t(x,y) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+\beta)}\ \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\ \theta(y)\ \exp\left\{\frac{\rho(x)}{t} + \frac{\rho(y)}{t}\right\},$$

which simplifies to, using the scaling property of $\theta(y)$ and $\rho(x)$,

$$p_t(x,y) = t^{-\frac{n}{2}}\ \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\ \theta\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\ \exp\left\{\rho\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right) + \rho\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right\}.$$

For $\alpha > 0$, we apply the change of variables $x \mapsto \|x\|^{\alpha}\frac{x}{\|x\|}$. The semigroup densities become

$$p_t^{\alpha}(x,y) = p_t\left(x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, y^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) J(y)$$

where $J(y)$ is the Jacobian of the inverse transformation, that is $J(y) = \alpha^{-n}\ y_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\cdots y_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}$, and we use the slight abuse of notation $x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \left(x_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \ldots, x_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)$. The semigroup densities can be recast into

$$p_t^{\alpha}(x,y) = t^{-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\ \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{x}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}, \frac{y}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right)\ \tilde{\theta}\left(\frac{y}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right)\ \exp\left\{\tilde{\rho}\left(\frac{x}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) + \tilde{\rho}\left(\frac{y}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right)\right\}$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}(x,y) = \Phi\left(x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, y^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)$ satisfies condition 1, $\tilde{\theta}(y) = \theta\left(y^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) J(y)$ satisfies condition 2 for $\tilde{\beta} = \frac{\beta+n}{\alpha} - n$ and $\tilde{\rho}(x) = \rho\left(y^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)$ satisfies condition 3 of equation (2.4).

4 Application to diffusions on $\mathbb{R}_+$

The case of the diffusions on $\mathbb{R}_+$ was entirely characterized by Watanabe in [27]. It was shown that only Bessel processes in the wide sense (which we recall the definition below) enjoy the time-inversion property of degree 1.

Definition 4.1. For some $\nu > -1$ and $c \geq 0$, the diffusion process generated by

$$= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \left(\frac{2\nu+1}{2x} + \frac{h_c'(x)}{h_c(x)}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tag{4.1}$$

is called *Bessel process in the wide sense.* The function $h_c(x)$ is given by

$$h_c(x) = 2^{\nu}\Gamma(\nu+1)\ (\sqrt{2c}\ x)^{-\nu}\ I_{\nu}(\sqrt{2c}\ x), \tag{4.2}$$

where I_ν is the modified Bessel function.

Remark 4.2. The Bessel process in the wide sense is in h-transform relationship, for $h \equiv h_c$, with the Bessel process.

We show that the result in [27] is a consequence of Theorem 2.3, which has the following one-dimensional formulation:

Theorem 4.3. *The Markov process* $(t^{\alpha} X_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0)$ *on* $\mathbb{R}_+$ *is homogeneous if and only if the semigroup densities of* $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ *are of the form:*

$$p_t(x,y) = t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}(1+\beta)}\ \phi\left(\frac{xy}{t^{\alpha}}\right)\ y^{\beta}\ \exp\left\{-\frac{k^2}{2}\left(\frac{x^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}{t} + \frac{y^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}{t}\right)\right\} \tag{4.3}$$

for $k > 0$*, or if it is in* h*-transform relationship with it. Moreover, the semigroup densities are related as follows:*

$$q_t^{(x)}(a,b) = \frac{\phi\,(xb)}{\phi\,(xa)}\ \exp\left(-t\ \frac{k^2}{2}\ x^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}\right)\ p_t(a,b). \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Theorem 2.3 formulated on $\mathbb{R}_+$ gives $\Phi(x,y) = \phi(xy)$, $\rho(x) = -\frac{k^2}{2}\ x^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}$, $k > 0$, and $\theta(y) = y^{\beta}$ for conditions 1, 2 and 3 to be satisfied. Equation (4.4) is then a consequence of (2.2). ☐

We identify further the class of diffusion processes and provide a different proof for the result in [27].

Proposition 4.4. *If* $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ *is a diffusion process and* $(tX_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0)$ *is homogeneous and conservative, then both are necessarily (possibly time-scaled) Bessel processes in the wide sense.*

Proof. If $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ is a diffusion process, then its infinitesimal generator has the following general structure:

$$= \frac{d}{\mathfrak{m}(dy)}\frac{d}{d\mathfrak{s}(y)}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}(dy)$ is called the speed measure and $\mathfrak{s}(y)$ the scale measure density (see [18]). From the assumptions of the proposition, $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ enjoys the time-inversion property of degree 1. As a consequence of (4.3) in Theorem 4.3, the speed measure is thus absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Let $\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}(x)\mathfrak{s}(x)}$ and $s(x) = \frac{-\mathfrak{s}'(x)}{\mathfrak{m}(x)\mathfrak{s}(x)^2}$. The infinitesimal generator can then be expressed as

$$= s(y)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \mu(y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

where it remains to identify the functions $s(y)$ and $\mu(y)$. For a fixed $x > 0$, let ${}^{(x)}$ be the infinitesimal generator of $(tX_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0)$. From equation (4.4), ${}^{(x)}$ has the following relationship with :

$${}^{(x)} : f(b) \mapsto \frac{1}{\phi(xb)}\ \big(\phi(xb) f(b)\big) - \frac{k^2}{2}\ x^2 f(b),$$

which develops to

$${}^{(x)}f(b) = s(b)f''(b) + \left\{\mu(b) + 2x\ s(b)\ \frac{\phi'(xb)}{\phi(xb)}\right\} f'(b) + U(x,b)f(b).$$

For the process to be conservative, we require $U(x,b)=0$, which implies no killing in the interior of the domain, that is

$$s(b)\ x^2\ \frac{\phi''(xb)}{\phi(xb)} + \mu(b)\ x\ \frac{\phi'(xb)}{\phi(xb)} - \frac{k^2}{2}\ x^2 = 0.$$

We change variables to $z = xb$ to obtain

$$s(z/x)\ \phi''(z) + \frac{\mu(z/x)}{x}\ \phi'(z) - \frac{k^2}{2}\ \phi(z) = 0.$$

Since the latter must be valid for all $x > 0$, we are led to set: $s(b) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ for $\sigma > 0$ and $\mu(b) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\ \frac{2\nu+1}{b}$ for $\nu > -1$. This yields the following equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi''(z) + \frac{2\nu+1}{2z}\ \phi'(z) - \frac{k^2}{2\sigma^2}\ \phi(z) = 0.$$

The general solution (non-singular at 0 and up to a constant factor) is expressed through the modified Bessel function of the first kind as follows:

$$\phi(z) = \left(\frac{kz}{\sigma}\right)^{-\nu}\ I_\nu\left(\frac{kz}{\sigma}\right).$$

Gathering the different factors in (4.3) leads to

$$p_t(x,y) = N\ t^{-\frac{1+\beta}{2}}\ \left(\frac{xy}{t}\right)^{-\nu}\ I_\nu\left(\frac{k}{\sigma}\frac{xy}{t}\right)\ y^\beta\ \exp\left\{-\frac{k^2}{2}\left(\frac{x^2}{t}+\frac{y^2}{t}\right)\right\},$$

where N is a normalization factor. The additional condition that $\lim_{t\to 0} p_t(x,y) = \delta(x-y)$ implies $\beta = 2\nu+1$ and $k = \frac{1}{\sigma}$, which leads to the semigroup densities of a time-scaled Bessel process of dimension ν:

$$p_t(x,y) = \frac{y}{\sigma^2 t}\ \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^\nu\ I_\nu\left(\frac{xy}{\sigma^2 t}\right)\ \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2 t}\right\}.$$

The infinitesimal generator for $(tX_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0)$ is given by

$$^{(x)} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial b^2} + \sigma^2\left\{\frac{2\nu+1}{2b} + x\frac{\phi'(xb)}{\phi(xb)}\right\}\frac{\partial}{\partial b},$$

where one recognizes expression (4.1) for $h_c(b) = \phi(xb)$ and a time-scale $t \mapsto \sigma^2 t$. □

Proposition 4.4 smoothly extends to any power $\alpha > 0$ of the Bessel process through the mapping $x \mapsto ||x||^\alpha \frac{x}{||x||}$. In particular, it is worth remarking that the case $\alpha = 2$ gives rise to squares of Bessel processes, which leads to the following result:

Proposition 4.5. *If $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ is a diffusion process and $(t^2 X_{\frac{1}{t}}, t > 0)$ is homogeneous and conservative, then both are necessarily (possibly time-scaled) squares of Bessel processes in the wide sense.*

5 Examples

5.1 Generalized Dunkl processes and Jacobi-Dunkl processes

5.1.1 Multidimensional Dunkl processes

We briefly review the construction of the Dunkl process in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see [25, 26]).

Definition 5.1. The Dunkl process in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the Markov càdlàg process with infinitesimal generator

$$\frac{1}{2}{}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i^2 \tag{5.1}$$

where $T_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n$, is a one-dimensional differential-difference operator defined for $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$T_i u(x) = \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha)\alpha_i \frac{u(x) - u(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle \alpha, x\rangle}. \tag{5.2}$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual scalar product. R is a root system in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and R_+ a positive subsystem. $k(\alpha)$ is a non-negative multiplicity function defined on R and invariant by the finite reflection group W associated with R. σ_α is the reflection operator with respect to the hyperplane H_α orthogonal to α such that $\sigma_\alpha x = x - \langle \alpha, x\rangle\alpha$ and for convenience $\langle \alpha, \alpha\rangle = 2$ (see [8, 9]).

A result obtained by M. Rösler [25] yields the semigroup densities as follows:

$$p_t^{(k)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{c_k t^{\gamma + n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2 + |y|^2}{2t}\right) D_k\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \omega_k(y) \tag{5.3}$$

where $D_k(x, y) > 0$ is the Dunkl kernel, $\omega_k(y) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} |\langle \alpha, y\rangle|^{2k(\alpha)}$ the weight function which is homogeneous of degree $2\gamma = 2\sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha)$ and $c_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}\omega_k(x)dx$.

Following a thorough study of the properties of the one-dimensional Dunkl process in [12], it was remarked in [13] that the Dunkl process in $\mathbb{R}^n$ enjoys the time-inversion property of degree 1. Considering that the Dunkl kernel satisfies

$$D_k(x, y) = D_k(y, x) \quad \text{and} \quad D_k(\mu x, y) = D_k(x, \mu y), \tag{5.4}$$

the proof is straightforward with

$$\Phi(x, y) \equiv D_k(x, y), \quad \theta(y) \equiv \omega_k(y), \quad \rho(x) \equiv -\frac{|x|^2}{2}. \tag{5.5}$$

By equation (2.5), the semigroup densities of the time-inverted process is even in h-transform relationship with the semigroup densities of the original Dunkl process:

$$q_t^{(x)}(a, b) = \frac{D_k(x, b)}{D_k(x, a)} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}t\right) p_t^{(k)}(a, b). \tag{5.6}$$

5.1.2 Generalized Dunkl processes

In an attempt to generalize the Dunkl process, we extend the definition of the infinitesimal generator to

$${}^{(k,\lambda)}f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta f(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha)\frac{\langle \nabla f(x), \alpha\rangle}{\langle x, \alpha\rangle} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \lambda(\alpha)\frac{f(\sigma_\alpha x) - f(x)}{\langle x, \alpha\rangle^2} \tag{5.7}$$

where Δ is the usual Laplacian, $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\lambda(\alpha)$ is a non-negative multiplicity function defined on R and invariant by the finite reflection group W, similarly as $k(\alpha)$. We retrieve the Dunkl process for $\lambda(\alpha) = k(\alpha)$. Note that these processes are no longer martingales for $\lambda(\alpha) \neq k(\alpha)$.

The one-dimensional case was introduced in [12], where the semigroup densities were explicitly derived,

$$p_t^{(k,\lambda)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{t^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}\ y^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2t}\right) D_{k,\lambda}\left(\frac{xy}{t}\right) \tag{5.8}$$

with the generalized Dunkl kernel, ($\nu = k - \frac{1}{2},\ \mu = \sqrt{\nu^2 + 4\lambda}$),

$$D_{k,\lambda}(z) = 1_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}_-\}} \frac{1}{2z^\nu}(I_\nu - I_\mu)(-z) + 1_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}_+\}} \frac{1}{2z^\nu}(I_\nu + I_\mu)(z), \tag{5.9}$$

for $z = \frac{xy}{t}$. From (5.4), the generalized Dunkl kernel satisfies

$$D_{k,\lambda}(x,y) = D_{k,\lambda}(y,x) \quad \text{and} \quad D_{k,\lambda}(\mu x, y) = D_{k,\lambda}(x, \mu y), \tag{5.10}$$

which readily implies that the generalized Dunkl process also enjoys the time-inversion property of degree 1. The semigroup densities were derived as an application of the skew-product representation of the generalized Dunkl process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ in terms of its absolute value (a Bessel process) and an independent Poisson process $N_t^{(\lambda)}$:

$$X_t \overset{(d)}{=} |X_t|(-1)^{N^{(\lambda)}_{A_t}} \tag{5.11}$$

where $A_t = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{X_s^2}$.

In the n-dimensional case, the application of the skew-product representation derived by Chybiryakov [6] shows that the generalized Dunkl process enjoys time-inversion for some specific root systems. We first recall one of the main results of [6].

Proposition 5.2. *Let $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ be the generalized Dunkl process generated by (5.7), with $(X_t^W, t \geq 0)$ its radial part, i.e. the process confined to a Weyl chamber. Let $R_+ \equiv \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be the corresponding positive root system and let $(N_t^i, t \geq 0)$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$ be independent Poisson processes of respective intensities $\lambda(\alpha_i)$. Then X_t may be represented as Y_t^l, which is defined by induction as follows:*

$$Y_t^0 = X_t^W \quad \text{and} \quad Y_t^i = \sigma_{\alpha_i}^{N^i_{A^i_t}}\, Y_t^{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, l,$$

where $A_t^i = \displaystyle\int_0^t \frac{ds}{\langle Y_s^{i-1}, \alpha_i \rangle^2}$.

The proof follows the argument in [6], while replacing $k(\alpha_i)$ by $\lambda(\alpha_i)$ appropriately.

From now on, let $R_+ \equiv \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$ for some $l \leq n$ be an orthogonal positive root system, that is $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = 2\delta_{ij}$. For this particular root system, one can show that the generalized Dunkl process enjoys time-inversion of degree 1. We first prove the following absolute continuity relation:

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(X_t^W, t \geq 0)$ be the radial Dunkl process with infinitesimal generator*

$${}^W_k f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} k(\alpha_i) \frac{\langle \alpha_i, \nabla f(x) \rangle}{\langle \alpha_i, x \rangle}. \tag{5.12}$$

Fixed $\nu \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$. Let $k'(\alpha)$ be another coefficient function on the root system R_+ such that $k'(\alpha_\nu) > k(\alpha_\nu)$ and $k'(\alpha_i) = k(\alpha_i)$ for $i \neq \nu$. Then, denoting $\mathrm{P}_x^{(k)}$ the law of the radial Dunkl process X_t^W starting from x, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{P}_x^{(k')}|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \quad &= \quad \left(\frac{\langle \alpha_\nu, X_t^W \rangle}{\langle \alpha_\nu, x \rangle} \right)^{k'(\alpha_\nu) - k(\alpha_\nu)} \\ &\cdot \quad \exp\left[- \frac{\left(k'(\alpha_\nu) - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \left(k(\alpha_\nu) - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{2} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\langle \alpha_\nu, X_s^W \rangle^2} \right] \cdot \mathrm{P}_x^{(k)}|_{\mathcal{F}_t}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. Let $k_0(\alpha)$ be a coefficient such that $k_0(\alpha_\nu) = \frac{1}{2}$ for some fixed $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$. X_t^W has the following martingale decomposition (see [14]):

$$X_t^W = x + B_t^{(k_0)} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} k_0(\alpha_i) \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\langle \alpha_i, X_s^W \rangle}\ \alpha_i$$

where $B_t^{(k_0)}$ is a $(\mathrm{P}_x^{(k_0)}, \mathcal{F}_t)$-Brownian motion. Consider the local martingale

$$L_t^{(k')} = \exp\left(\left(k'(\alpha_\nu) - \frac{1}{2}\right)\int_0^t \frac{\langle \alpha_\nu, dB_s^{(k_0)}\rangle}{\langle \alpha_\nu, X_s^W\rangle} - \frac{\left(k'(\alpha_\nu) - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{2}\int_0^t \frac{ds}{\langle \alpha_\nu, X_s^W\rangle^2}\right)$$

for some coefficient function $k'(\alpha)$ such that $k'(\alpha_\nu) > \frac{1}{2}$ and $k'(\alpha_i) = k_0(\alpha_i)$ for $i \neq \nu$. The Itô formula for $\ln\left(\langle \alpha_\nu, X_t^W\rangle\right)$ combined with the orthogonality of the roots yields

$$L_t^{(k')} = \left(\frac{\langle \alpha_\nu, X_t^W\rangle}{\langle \alpha_\nu, x\rangle}\right)^{k'(\alpha_\nu) - \frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(k'(\alpha_\nu) - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{2}\int_0^t \frac{ds}{\langle \alpha_\nu, X_s^W\rangle^2}\right).$$

Define the new law $\mathrm{P}_x^{(k')}|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = L_t^{(k')} \cdot \mathrm{P}_x^{(k_0)}|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$. By the Girsanov theorem,

$$B_t^{(k')} = B_t^{(k_0)} - \left(k'(\alpha_\nu) - \frac{1}{2}\right)\int_0^t \frac{ds}{\langle \alpha_\nu, X_s^W\rangle}$$

is a $(\mathrm{P}_x^{(k')}, \mathcal{F}_t)$-Brownian motion and hence,

$$X_t^W = x + B_t^{(k')} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} k'(\alpha_i)\int_0^t \frac{ds}{\langle \alpha_i, X_s^W\rangle}\ \alpha_i$$

is a radial Dunkl process under $(\mathrm{P}_x^{(k')}, \mathcal{F}_t)$.

Define k as another coefficient on the root system that satisfies the conditions enunciated in the lemma. The absolute continuity relation is then a consequence of the successive application of the latter result to the indices k' and k. □

Now as an application of Proposition 5.2, we prove the following:

Proposition 5.4. *Let $R_+ \equiv \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$ be an orthogonal positive root system for $l \leq n$. Then the generalized Dunkl process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ generated by (5.7) enjoys the time-inversion property of degree 1.*

Proof. Using orthogonality of the roots, remark that

$$\langle \alpha_i, \sigma_j x\rangle^2 = \langle \alpha_i, x - \langle \alpha_j, x\rangle \alpha_j\rangle^2 = \langle \alpha_i, x\rangle^2,$$

which implies in particular

$$\langle \alpha_i, Y_t^i\rangle^2 = \langle \alpha_i, X_t^W\rangle^2,$$

so that the inductive representation of X_t in Proposition 5.2 becomes

$$X_t = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \sigma_{\alpha_i}^{N^i_{A_t^i}}\ X_t^W \quad \text{for} \quad A_t^i = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\langle X_s^W, \alpha_i\rangle^2}.$$

The radial part of a Dunkl process enjoys the time-inversion property of degree 1. We need to show that the semigroup densities of the generalized Dunkl process are related to the semigroup densities of its radial parts. For $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathrm{E}_x\big[f(Y_t^i)\big] = \mathrm{E}_x\big[f(Y_t^{i-1})1_{\{N^i_{A_t^i} \text{ is even}\}}\big] + \mathrm{E}_x\big[f(\sigma_{\alpha_i} Y_t^{i-1})1_{\{N^i_{A_t^i} \text{ is odd}\}}\big].$$

Since $\mathrm{P}(N_u^i \text{ is even}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \exp(-2\lambda(\alpha_i)u)\right)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{E}_x\big[f(Y_t^i)\big] &= \mathrm{E}_x\left[f(Y_t^{i-1})\ \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \exp(-2\lambda(\alpha_i)A_t^i)\right)\right] \\ &+ \mathrm{E}_x\left[f(\sigma_{\alpha_i} Y_t^{i-1})\ \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \exp(-2\lambda(\alpha_i)A_t^i)\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The expectation $\mathrm{E}_x\big[f(X_t)\big]$ can thus be evaluated by induction on $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$. It follows that the semigroup densities of X_t can be expressed as the product of the semigroup densities of its radial parts times a function involving expectations of the form

$$\mathrm{E}_x^{(k)}\left[\exp\left(-2\lambda(\alpha_\nu)A_t^\nu\right)\Big|X_t^W = y\right],$$

for $\nu \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$. From Lemma 5.3,

$$\mathrm{E}_x^{(k)}\left[\exp\left(-2\lambda(\alpha_\nu)A_t^\nu\right)\Big|X_t^W = y\right] = \frac{p_t^{(k')}(x,y)}{p_t^{(k)}(x,y)}\left(\frac{\langle\alpha_\nu, y\rangle}{\langle\alpha_\nu, x\rangle}\right)^{k(\alpha_\nu)-k'(\alpha_\nu)},$$

where $k'(\alpha_i) = k(\alpha_i)$ for $i \neq \nu$ and $k'(\alpha_\nu) = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\left(k(\alpha_\nu) - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + 4\lambda(\alpha_\nu)}$. The form of the semigroup densities in (5.3) implies that the expectation is a ratio of Dunkl kernels,

$$\mathrm{E}_x^{(k)}\left[e^{-2\lambda(\alpha_\nu)A_t^\nu}\Big|X_t^W = y\right] = \frac{c_k}{c_{k'}}\,\frac{D_{k'}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}{D_k\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}\,\frac{w_{k'}\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}{w_k\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}\left(\frac{\langle\alpha_\nu, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\rangle}{\langle\alpha_\nu, \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\rangle}\right)^{(k-k')(\alpha_\nu)},$$

which reduces to

$$\mathrm{E}_x^{(k)}\left[e^{-2\lambda(\alpha_\nu)A_t^\nu}\Big|X_t^W = y\right] = \frac{c_k}{c_{k'}}\,\frac{D_{k'}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}{D_k\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}\left(\langle\alpha_\nu, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\rangle\langle\alpha_\nu, \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\rangle\right)^{(k'-k)(\alpha_\nu)}$$

by definition of $k'(\alpha)$. The conditional expectation thus satisfies condition 1 of Theorem 2.3. As a consequence, the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied for

$$\Phi(x,y) \equiv D_{k,\lambda}(x,y), \quad \theta(y) \equiv \omega_k(y), \quad \rho(x) \equiv -\frac{|x|^2}{2}$$

where $D_{k,\lambda}(x,y)$ is a generalized Dunkl kernel given explicitly in terms of radial Dunkl kernels and satisfying equivalent conditions (see (5.4)). $\square$

5.1.3 Jacobi-Dunkl processes

Gallardo et al. [5] derived the Jacobi-Dunkl process as the hyperbolic analog of the one-dimensional Dunkl process. It is defined as the process generated by

$$^{(\alpha,\beta)}f(x) = \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)}\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)}\right)\left(\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}\right), \tag{5.14}$$

where $A(x) = \left(\sinh^2(x)\right)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\cosh^2(x)\right)^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}}$. From the expression of the semigroup densities developed in [5], this process does not enjoy the time-inversion property. Its radial part however corresponds to the Jacobi process of index (α, β) on $\mathbb{R}_+$ (see [16, 17]). The infinitesimal generator of the Jacobi process, expressed by

$$^{(\alpha,\beta)}f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)}\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x}, \tag{5.15}$$

is in h-transform relationship with the Laplacian operator for $h(x) = \sqrt{A(x)}$. Since the Brownian motion enjoys the time-inversion property of degree 1, so does the Jacobi process by Theorem 2.3.

5.2 Matrix-valued processes

5.2.1 Eigenvalue processes

Dyson in [11] described the eigenvalues of a Hermitian Brownian motion as the joint evolution of independent Brownian motions conditioned never to collide (see also [15] and [4]). It was further remarked that the process version of the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble does not admit such a representation for its eigenvalues. This work was extended by König and O'Connell in [19] to the process version of the Laguerre ensemble, denominated the Laguerre process and defined as follows:

Definition 5.5. Let B_t be an $n \times m$ matrix with independent standard complex Brownian entries. The Laguerre process is the matrix-valued process defined by $\{X_t = B_t' B_t, t \geq 0\}$, where B_t' is the transpose of B_t.

From [19], the eigenvalues of the Laguerre process evolve like m independent squared Bessel processes conditioned never to collide. No such representation however exists for the case where the entries of B_t are real Brownian motions, i.e. the Wishart process considered by Bru in [3].

The main result of [19] is that both of the above mentioned eigenvalues processes (in the complex Brownian case) can be obtained as the h-transform of processes with m independent components. The joint eigenvalues process is thus in h-transform relationship with a process that enjoys the time inversion property of degree 1 in the case of the m-dimensional Brownian motion and degree 2 in the case of the m-dimensional squared Bessel process, as made explicit in the following proposition:

Proposition 5.6. *Let* $p_t(x_i, y_i)$ $(i = 1, \ldots, m)$ *be the semigroup densities of squared Bessel processes (respectively Brownian motions), and let*

$$h(x) = \prod_{i<j}^{m} (x_j - x_i) \tag{5.16}$$

for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$. *Then, the semigroup densities of the joint eigenvalues process of the Laguerre process (respectively the Hermitian Brownian motion) are given by*

$$\tilde{p}_t(x, y) = \frac{h(y)}{h(x)} \det \left(p_t(x_i, y_j) \right)_{i,j=1}^{m} \tag{5.17}$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure $dy = \prod_{j=1}^{m} dy_j$.

It follows immediately by Theorem 2.3 that the eigenvalues processes enjoy the time-inversion property. Moreover by Proposition 2.2, they yield the same process under time-inversion as the m-dimensional Brownian motion or the m-dimensional squared Bessel process respectively.

5.2.2 Wishart processes

The Wishart process $\mathrm{WIS}(\delta, tI_m, \frac{1}{t}x)$, introduced by Bru in [1], is a continuous Markov process taking values in the space of real symmetric positive definite $m \times m$ matrices S_m^+. It is solution to the following stochastic differential equation:

$$dX_t = \sqrt{X_t} dB_t + dB_t' \sqrt{X_t} + \delta I_m dt, \quad X_0 = x, \tag{5.18}$$

where B_t is an $m \times m$ matrix with Brownian entries and I_m the identity matrix. Further results have been obtained in [2] and [3]. In [7], among other major findings about the Wishart process, the transition probability densities expressed with respect to the Lebesgue measure $dy = \prod_{i \leq j} (dy_{ij})$ were derived in terms of generalized Bessel functions (we refer to the appendix for the definition):

$$p_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2t)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} Tr(x+y) \right) \left(\frac{\det(y)}{\det(x)} \right)^{\frac{\delta-m-1}{4}} \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\frac{\delta-m-1}{2}} \left(\frac{xy}{4t^2} \right), \tag{5.19}$$

for $x, y \in S_m^+$ and $\delta > m-1$. From the shape of its densities, the Wishart process was stated in [13] as an example of Markov processes enjoying the time-inversion property of degree 2. The hypothesis of Theorem 2.3 is indeed satisfied for $n = \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x,y) &\equiv (\det(x)\det(y))^{-\frac{\delta-m-1}{4}}\ \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\frac{\delta-m-1}{2}}\left(\frac{xy}{4}\right), \\ \theta(y) &\equiv \frac{1}{2^n}(\det(y))^{\frac{\delta-m-1}{2}} \quad , \quad \rho(x) \equiv -\frac{1}{2}Tr(x). \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Next we use a skew-product representation, as for the Dunkl process, to elaborate on the Wishart process and derive a matrix-valued process with jumps. The skew-product representation allows the expression of the semigroup densities in terms of the Wishart transition probability densities.

Definition 5.7. Let $(N_t^{(\lambda)}, t \geq 0)$ be a Poisson process with intensity λ. Let $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ be a Wishart process $\mathrm{WIS}(\delta, tI_m, \frac{1}{t}x)$ independent of the Poisson process. The skew-Wishart process $(X_t^{(\lambda)}, t \geq 0)$ is defined through the skew-product

$$X_t^{(\lambda)} = X_t\ (-1)^{N_{A_t}^{(\lambda)}} \tag{5.21}$$

where $A_t = \displaystyle\int_0^t Tr(X_s^{-1})ds$.

Proposition 5.8. *The transition probability densities of the skew-Wishart process are related to the semigroup densities $p_t(x,y)$ of the Wishart process X_t as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} p_t^{(\lambda)}(x,y) &= p_t(x,|y|)\left\{1_{\{y\in S_m^+\}}\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\nu'}}{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\nu}}\right)\left(\frac{xy}{4t^2}\right)\right)\right. \\ &\qquad \left. +\ 1_{\{y\in S_m^-\}}\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\nu'}}{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\nu}}\right)\left(\frac{-xy}{4t^2}\right)\right)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

for $\nu = \frac{\delta-m-1}{2}$, $\nu' = \sqrt{\nu^2+4\lambda}$ *and* $|y| = y(1_{\{y\in S_m^+\}} - 1_{\{y\in S_m^-\}})$.

Proof. Let $(\mathbf{P}_t)_{t>0}$ be the semigroup of the skew-Wishart process. For $x > 0$ and $f \in C_c(M_m(\mathbb{R}))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_t f(x) &= \mathbf{E}_x\left[f(X_t^{(\lambda)})\right] \\ &= \mathbf{E}_x\left[f(X_t)\ 1_{\{N_{A_t}^{(\lambda)}\ \text{is even}\}}\right] + \mathbf{E}_x\left[f(-X_t)\ 1_{\{N_{A_t}^{(\lambda)}\ \text{is odd}\}}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

With $\mathrm{P}(N_u^{(\lambda)}$ is even$) = \frac{1}{2}(1+\exp(-2\lambda u))$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}_t f(x) = \mathbf{E}_x\left[f(X_t)\ \frac{1}{2}(1+\exp(-2\lambda A_t))\right] + \mathbf{E}_x\left[f(-X_t)\ \frac{1}{2}(1-\exp(-2\lambda A_t))\right]. \tag{5.23}$$

Let $\mathbf{Q}_x^{(\nu')}$ with $\nu' = \frac{\delta'-m-1}{2}$ denote the probability law of a Wishart process $\mathrm{WIS}(\delta', tI, \frac{1}{t}x)$, and $\mathbf{Q}_x^{(\nu)}$ with $\nu = \frac{\delta-m-1}{2}$ the probability law of X_t. According to Theorem 1.2 (Remark 2.3) in [7], the probability laws are related as follows:

$$\mathbf{Q}_x^{(\nu')}|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \left(\frac{\det X_t}{\det x}\right)^{\frac{\nu'-\nu}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu'^2-\nu^2}{2}\int_0^t Tr(X_s^{-1})ds\right)\cdot \mathbf{Q}_x^{(\nu)}|_{\mathcal{F}_t},$$

from which we deduce

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}_t^{(\nu')}(x,y)}{\mathbf{p}_t^{(\nu)}(x,y)} = \left(\frac{\det y}{\det x}\right)^{\frac{\nu'-\nu}{2}} \mathbf{Q}_x^{(\nu)}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{\nu'^2-\nu^2}{2}\int_0^t Tr(X_s^{-1})ds\right)\middle| X_t = y\right].$$

Thus, from the expression of the semigroup densities in (5.19), we have

$$\mathbf{E}_x^{(\nu)}\left[\exp\left(-2\lambda\int_0^t Tr(X_s^{-1})ds\right)\Big|X_t=y\right]=\left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\sqrt{\nu^2+4\lambda}}}{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_\nu}\right)\left(\frac{xy}{4t^2}\right).$$

Combining the latter with (5.23) yields the semigroup densities for the skew-Wishart process. □

The skew-Wishart is an example of matrix-valued process with jumps that enjoys the time-inversion property of degree 2. Indeed, by setting

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x,y) &\equiv (\det(x)\det(|y|))^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}\left\{1_{\{y\in S_m^+\}}\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_\nu+\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\nu'}\right)\left(\frac{xy}{4}\right)\right.\\ &\quad\left.+\,1_{\{y\in S_m^-\}}\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_\nu-\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\nu'}\right)\left(\frac{-xy}{4}\right)\right\},\\ \theta(y)\equiv\frac{1}{2^n}(\det(|y|))^{\nu} &\quad,\quad \rho(x)\equiv-\frac{1}{2}Tr(|x|),\end{aligned}\tag{5.24}$$

the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied for $\alpha=2$.

A Generalized hypergeometric functions

Using the notation in Muirhead [21], hypergeometric functions of matrix arguments are defined for a real symmetric $m\times m$ matrix X, $a_i\in\mathbb{C}$ and $b_j\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,\frac{1}{2},1,\ldots,\frac{m-1}{2}\}$ by

$${}_p\mathbf{F}_q(a_1,\ldots,a_p;b_1,\ldots,b_q;X)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{(a_1)_\kappa\cdots(a_p)_\kappa}{(b_1)_\kappa\cdots(b_q)_\kappa}\frac{C_\kappa(X)}{k!}\tag{A.1}$$

where the second summation is over all partitions $\kappa=(k_1,\ldots,k_m)$, $k_1\geq\cdots\geq k_m\geq 0$, of $k=\sum_{i=1}^m k_i$, $k!=k_1!\cdots k_m!$ and the generalized Pochhammer symbols are given by

$$(a)_\kappa=\prod_{i=1}^m\left(a-\frac{i-1}{2}\right)_{k_i}\quad,\quad (a)_k=a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1),\quad (a)_0=1.$$

$C_\kappa(X)$ is the zonal polynomial corresponding to κ, which is a symmetric, homogeneous polynomial of degree k in the eigenvalues of X that satisfies

$$C_\kappa(YX)=C_\kappa(\sqrt{Y}X\sqrt{Y})\tag{A.2}$$

for some $Y\in S_m^+$. The function ${}_p\mathbf{F}_q(a_1,\ldots,a_p;b_1,\ldots,b_q;YX)$ thus makes sense. Finally, we define the generalized modified Bessel function by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_\nu(X)=\frac{(\det(X))^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\mathbf{\Gamma}_m\left(\nu+\frac{m+1}{2}\right)}\,{}_0\mathbf{F}_1\left(\nu+\frac{m+1}{2};X\right)\tag{A.3}$$

where the generalized gamma function is given as a product of the usual gamma functions,

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_m(\alpha)=\pi^{\frac{m(m-1)}{4}}\prod_{i=1}^m\Gamma\left(\alpha-\frac{i-1}{2}\right)\tag{A.4}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha)>\frac{m-1}{2}$. Note that the generalized modified Bessel for $m=1$ relates to the usual modified Bessel function $I_\nu(x)$ by $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_\nu(x)=I_\nu(2\sqrt{x})$ (see [23]).

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank Marc Yor for helpful discussions and references.

References

[1] Bru, M.F., *Processus de Wishart*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I, **t.308**, pp. 29–32, 1989

[2] Bru, M.F., *Diffusions of perturbed principal component analysis*, J. Multivariate Anal., **29**, pp. 127–136, 1989

[3] Bru, M.F., *Wishart processes*, J. Theo. Probab., **4**, pp. 725–751, 1991

[4] Cépa, E. and Lépingle, D., *Brownian particles with electrostatic repulsion on the circle: Dyson's model for unitary random matrices revisited*, ESAIM Probability and Statistics, **5**, pp. 203–224, 2001

[5] Chouchene, F. and Gallardo, L. and Mili, M., *The heat semigroup for the Jacobi-Dunkl operator and the related Markov processes*, Pot. Anal., **25(2)**, pp. 103–119, 2006

[6] Chybiryakov, O., *Skew-product representations of multidimensional Dunkl Markov processes*, Preprint, 2005

[7] Donati-Martin, C. and Doumerc, Y. and Matsumoto, H. and Yor, M., *Some properties of the Wishart processes and a matrix extension of the Hartman-Watson laws*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., **40**, pp. 1385–1412, 2004

[8] Dunkl, C., *Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **311(1)**, pp. 167–183, 1989

[9] Dunkl, C., *Hankel transforms associated to finite reflection groups*, Contemp. Math., **138**, pp. 123–138, 1992

[10] Dynkin, E.B., *Markov representations of stochastic systems*, Russ. Math. Surv., **30**(1), pp. 65–104, 1975

[11] Dyson, F.J., *A Brownian-motion model for the eigenvalues of a random matrix*, J. Math. Phys., **3**, pp. 1191–1198, 1962

[12] Gallardo, L. and Yor, M., *Some remarkable properties of the Dunkl martingales*, Sém. Proba. XXXIX, Lect. Notes in Math, **1874**, 2006

[13] Gallardo, L. and Yor, M., *Some new examples of Markov processes which enjoy the time-inversion property*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **132**, pp. 150–162, 2005

[14] Gallardo, L. and Yor, M., *A chaotic representation property of the multidimensional Dunkl processes*, Ann. Prob., **34(4)**, pp. 1530–1549, 2006

[15] Grabiner, D., *Brownian motion in a Weyl chamber, non-colliding particles, and random matrices*, Annales de l'I. H. P. Probabilités et Statistiques, **35**, pp. 177–204, 1999

[16] Gruet, J.C., *Windings of hyperbolic Brownian motion, in Exponential functionals and principal values related to Brownian motion*, Bibl. Rev. Math. Ibroamericana, Rev. Math. Ibroamericana, Madrid, pp. 35–72, 1997

[17] Gruet, J.C., *Jacobi radial stable processes*, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal, **5**, pp. 39–48, 1998

[18] Ito, K. and McKean, H.P., *Diffusion Processes and Their Sample Paths*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974

[19] König, W. and O'Connell, N., *Eigenvalues of the Laguerre process as non-colliding squared Bessel processes*, Elect. Comm. in Probab., **6**, pp. 107–114, 2001

[20] Kuznetsov, S.E., *Construction of a regular split process*, Theor. Prob. Appl., **22**, pp. 773–793, 1977

[21] Muirhead, R.J., *Aspects of Multivariate Statistic Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1982

[22] Lamperti, J., *Semi-Stable Markov Processes I*, Zeit. für Wahr., **22**, pp. 205–255, 1972

[23] Lebedev, N.N., *Special Functions and Their Applications*, Dover, New York, 1972

[24] Pitman, J. and Yor, M., *Bessel processes and infinitely divisible laws*, In: Stochastic Integrals. ed. D. Williams., Lect. Notes in Math, **851**, 1981

[25] Rösler, M., *Generalized Hermite polynomials and the heat equations for Dunkl operators*, Comm. Math. Phys., **192**, pp. 519–542, 1998

[26] Rösler, M. and Voit, M., *Markov processes related with Dunkl operators*, Adv. in App. Math., **21(4)**, pp. 575–643, 1998

[27] Watanabe, S., *On time inversion of one-dimensional diffusion processes*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete, **31**, pp. 115–124, 1975